



Quickly register to comment, ask and respond to questions, and get FREE access to our p on cognitive biases!

enter your name here enter your e-mail address here Register

search contents

- Book Contents <
- The Fallacies: Ac–An <
- The Fallacies: Appeal To <
- The Fallacies: Ar–Co <
- The Fallacies: Co–Ex <
- The Fallacies: Fa–Hy <
- The Fallacies: Id–Mu <
- The Fallacies: Na–Ri <
- The Fallacies: Sc–Wi >
- Scapegoating
- Self-Righteousness Fallacy
- Selective Attention
- Self-Sealing Argument
- Shifting of the Burden of Proof
- Shoehorning
- Slippery Slope
- Special Pleading
- Spiritual Fallacy

Like Share 8 people like this. Be the first of your friends.

Weak Analogy

(also known as: bad analogy, false analogy, faulty analogy, questionable analogy, argument from spurious similarity, false metaphor)

Description: When an analogy is used to prove or disprove an argument, but the analogy is too dissimilar to be effective, that is, it is unlike the argument more than it is like the argument.

Logical Form:

*X is like Y.
Y has property P.
Therefore, X has property P.
(but X really is not too much like Y)*

Example #1:

Not believing in the literal resurrection of Jesus because the Bible has errors and contradictions, is like denying that the Titanic sank because eye-witnesses did not agree if the ship broke in half before or after it sank.

Explanation: This is an actual analogy used by, I am sorry to say, one of my favorite Christian debaters (one who usually seems to value reason and logic). There are several problems with this analogy, including:

- The Titanic sank in recent history
- We know for a fact that the testimonies we have are of eye-witnesses
- We have physical evidence of the sunken Titanic

Example #2:

Spin Doctoring

Spotlight Fallacy

Statement of Conversion

Stereotyping (the fallacy)

Stolen Concept Fallacy

Strawman Fallacy

Style Over Substance

Subjectivist Fallacy

Subverted Support

Sunk-Cost Fallacy

Suppressed Correlative

Survivorship Fallacy

Texas Sharpshooter Fallacy

Tokenism

Traitorous Critic Fallacy

Two Wrongs Make a Right

Type-Token Fallacy

Unfalsifiability

Unwarranted Contrast

Use-Mention Error

Weak Analogy

Willed Ignorance

Wishful Thinking

Believing in the literal resurrection of Jesus is like believing in the literal existence of zombies.

Explanation: This is a common analogy used by some atheists who argue against Christianity. It is a *weak analogy* because:

- Jesus was said to be alive not just undead
- If God is assumed, then God had a reason to bring Jesus (himself) back —no such reason exists for zombies
- Zombies eat brains, Jesus did not (as far as we know)

Exception: It is important to note that analogies cannot be "faulty" or "correct", and even calling them "good" or "bad" is not as accurate as referring to them as either "weak" or "strong". The use of an analogy is an argument in itself, the strength of which is very subjective. What is weak to one person, is strong to another.

Tip: Analogies are very useful, powerful, and persuasive ways to communicate ideas. Use them -- just make them strong.

References:

Luckhardt, C. G., & Bechtel, W. (1994). *How to Do Things with Logic*. Psychology Press.

SHARE IT! →



Registered User Comments



Jason Mathias

Saturday, January 26, 2019 - 01:02:23 PM

What fallacy would this be?

Person A (policy maker) says, "Climate Change is our WW2."

Person B (critic) responds, "We already had a WW2, there for person A is incompetent"

[login to reply](#)

1 reply

0 votes

Sunday, January 27, 2019 - 09:22:57 AM



Bo Bennett,
PhD

Non Sequitur. The fact that we already had a WW2 is irreverent to Person A's claim.

[login to reply](#)

0 votes



Anthony

Sunday, April 29, 2018 - 08:56:01 PM

Could this fallacy not be a special form of a faulty comparison? And is this the same as the false equivalence fallacy - which is a relatively popular fallacy but somehow nowhere listed on this site unless I'm very much mistaken.

[login to reply](#)

1 reply

0 votes



Bo Bennett,
PhD

Monday, April 30, 2018 - 06:38:23 AM

Yes, they are all very similar. I do need to add the false equivalence fallacy because there are some nuances that differ it from the others.

[login to reply](#)

0 votes

[About Archieboy Holdings, LLC.](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Other Books Written by Bo](#)

Website Software Copyright 2019, [Archieboy Holdings, LLC.](#)

